August 5, 1991 TH:MG:jwr 080191.mot

Introduced by: Lois North

Proposed No.: 91-651

MOTION NO.

A MOTION approving the Stipulation, Order and Final Judgment in Hammer v. King County, Cause No. C89-521R

WHEREAS, King County entered into mediation in order to resolve issues in litigation concerning conditions at the King County Correctional Facility, and

WHEREAS, the terms of the Stipulation, Order and Final Judgment will allow King County to resolve the remaining issues in litigation, and

WHEREAS, the King County executive has approved the terms of the Stipulation, Order and Final Judgment and has forwarded the Stipulation, Order and Final Judgment to the council for approval;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The Stipulation, Order and Final Judgment in Hammer v. King
County, Cause No. C89-521R is hereby approved.

PASSED this 5th day of angust, 1991.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Lois North

ATTEST:

Clerk of the Council

1 The Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 10 CALVIN HAMMER, et al., 11 12 Plaintiffs, NO. C89-521R 13 vs. STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT KING COUNTY, et al., 14 Defendants. 15

COME NOW the parties, plaintiffs Hammer, Boekel, Atkins and Stevens and the class of inmates whom they represent, through their counsel of record, Fred Diamondstone, John Midgley and Theodore Spearman, and defendants King County, Tim Hill, Steve Schwalb and Arthur Wallenstein, through their counsel of record, Robert I. Stier and Mary F. Perry, Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys for King County, and for and in consideration of the mutual promises made hereinafter,

24

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 1 2085pld.mfp.bak

ORIGINAL

| 1 | agree that the Order set | forth below shall be entered subject to the |
|------------|--------------------------|--|
| 2 | approval of the Court a | nd after notice to class members. |
| 3 | DATED: | , 1991. |
| 4 | | Respectfully submitted, |
| 5 | | NORM MALENG |
| 6 | | King County Prosecuting Attorney |
| 7 | | By:ROBERT I. STIER |
| 8 | | Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney |
| 9 | | n |
| 1 0 | | By: MARY F. PERRY |
| 11 | | Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Attorneys for Defendants |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | FRED DIAMONDSTONE |
| 14 | | ACLU Cooperating Attorney Attorney for Plaintiffs Boekel, |
| 15 | | Hammer, Stevens and Atkins and for the Class |
| 16 | | By: John Gridley |
| 17 | | JOHN MIDGLEY |
| 18 | | Evergreen Legal Services Attorney for Plaintiffs Boekel, |
| 19 | | Hammer, Stevens and Atkins and for the Class |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | By: THEODORE SPEARMAN |
| 22 | | ACLU Cooperating Attorney Attorney for Plaintiffs Boekel, |
| 23. | | Hammer, Stevens and Atkins and for the Class |
| 24 | | |

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 2 2085pld.mfp.bak

5

. _

.

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 3 2085pld.mfp.bak

ORDER

THIS MATTER came on for hearing before the Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein, Chief United States District Court Judge. The parties have stipulated and agreed to enter into the Order set forth below. Notice to class members has been issued, as approved by the Court and the Court has considered all class comments from class members that have been received. The Court approves and enters the following Order and Judgment. Now, therefore

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. <u>Definitions</u>

The parties agree that the following definitions shall apply to the terms used in this Stipulation and Order.

Close Security Split Housing Area: Transition housing for inmates with behavior problems, either for pre- or post-administrative segregation.

King County Correctional Facility (KCCF): Means the facility located on the 500 block of Fifth Avenue in Seattle, Washington. The KCCF, as defined, does <u>not</u> include the work-release facility on the twelfth floor of the King County Courthouse, the North Rehabilitation Facility, or other satellite facility.

Tower: For purposes of this order, tower means the housing areas of Floors 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of the KCCF. Tower, as defined, does not include the Intake, Transfer and Release (ITR) area of the KCCF.

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 10
- 11
- 12

13

on June 4, 1991.

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

2085pld.mfp.bak

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 4

incoming inmates. This procedure will require that a pre-book officer ask inmates questions geared to reveal medical psychiatric problems and evidence risk of suicide. Staffing for this function will be provided seven days per week. This procedure will be implemented in connection with King County's efforts to

King County will implement a procedure for assessing the

achieve accreditation of Jail Health Services through the National Commission on Correctional Health Care (NCCHC) as agreed to in the

Medical/Psychiatric/Suicide Risk Assessment

medical and/or psychiatric needs and potential suicide risk of

Stipulated Order and Judgment Re Medical Issues entered by the court

Inmates Transferred From Prisons В.

King County will ask that Washington State Department of Corrections (DOC) facilities provide DAD with information whether individuals transferred to the KCCF from DOC have a history of violent institutional behavior. King County shall consider the information received from DOC in making its determination of where such an inmate shall be housed in the KCCF.

King County shall institute a policy to ensure that any inmate transferred to the KCCF from a federal prison or out-of-state prison shall not be housed with the general population of the KCCF until a

classification specialist has made a determination that housing such an inmate with the general population is appropriate.

C. Disciplinary Hearings

procedure King County will implement a to prioritize disciplinary infractions to ensure that all serious infractions are heard within the time provided in the American Correctional Association (ACA) standards for local jail facilities. King County will make staffing available to ensure that disciplinary hearings are conducted and reported seven days per week. Plaintiffs will raise no objection to efforts by King County to extend the permissible time frame for conducting disciplinary hearings to reflect that contained in the ACA standards.

A designated classification specialist will review all serious infraction hearings to identify those inmates whose violent, assaultive or ongoing aggressive behavior in the KCCF warrants the assignment of a positive "Disciplinary History Risk Code." In classification specialists who hear disciplinary addition, infraction cases of lesser severity will forward to the designated classification specialist reports on those inmates whom they believe should be reviewed for consideration for the Disciplinary History Risk Code. King County will ensure that the Disciplinary History Risk Code will be entered into the KCCF information management system.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 5 2085pld.mfp.bak

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

King County will review the disciplinary records of inmates who have been housed in the KCCF within one year prior to the implementation of the revised pre-classification procedures to identify those individuals who should be considered for the Disciplinary History Risk Code and will record that information in the KCCF information management system.

D. Pre-Classification Identification of Inmates with Records of Violent, Assaultive or Ongoing Aggressive Behavior in KCCF.

implement a procedure to identify County will integrate within records systems inmates with documented violent, assaultive or ongoing aggressive behavior in KCCF. implementation of this procedure, King County will develop a "Disciplinary History Risk Code" to identify inmates with documented histories of such behavior. The Disciplinary History Risk Code will be entered in the KCCF information management system. The Disciplinary History Risk Code will be read by a corrections officer during the intake process for each incoming inmate. When a positive notation is read in the inmate's Disciplinary Risk Code, the corrections officer will contact a designated classification specialist for review and selection of an appropriate initial housing assignment consistent with the security requirements of the inmate in question.

23

2425

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 6 2085pld.mfp.bak

3. <u>Classification</u>

King County will implement procedures to ensure that all forms (currently known as Form 571's), which are used for the movement of inmates by corrections officers without the intervention of classification specialists, will be reviewed by a Sergeant to ensure that movement of the inmate by the corrections officer is appropriate under the circumstances. All such forms will be referred to the Classification Section for review within one shift after the movement has taken place.

4. Reclassification

King County will continue its policy of reclassification of inmates. Reclassification may occur for the following reasons:

Persons who show emerging medical or mental health problems

A change in charge status, including sentencing

Problematic behavior

Protective custody change status

Incompatibility with others

Keep separate considerations

Administrative segregation reviews

Disciplinary behavior

Review for work release

Review for home detention

Review for North Rehabilitation Facility

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 7 2085pld.mfp.bak

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 8 2085pld.mfp.bak

Review for inmate worker status

Review for program consideration

Needs of the institution

Information received from other criminal justice agencies

Information received from outside sources, i.e.,

Inmate requests for reclassification

lawyers, parents, friends, etc.

Upon assignment to a close security split housing area, an inmate will be provided with an explanation of the procedures for requesting reclassification and the appropriate circumstances in which reclassification will occur.

5. Kite System

King County will implement the following procedures to ensure that inmate kites are received by the Classification Section of the KCCF in a timely manner: Classification kites will be readily identifiable by inmates and staff. A supply of classification kites will be made available on an ongoing basis in the KCCF housing areas. All filled-out classification kites will be delivered directly to the classification specialist who is stationed on the floor on which the inmate is housed. King County will construct receptacles for kites to be installed outside housing areas. Only jail staff will be authorized to pick up kites.

6. Beds

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. King County will limit the housing of inmates on mattresses on the floor to no more than a single seventy-two (72) hour period.
- B. King County will implement a system to monitor inmates assigned to mattresses on the floor, to ensure that any such inmate is assigned to a mattress on the floor for no more than a single seventy-two (72) hour period during each period of incarceration in the KCCF. This provision shall not apply to an inmate assigned to a mattress on the floor for medical or psychiatric reasons. The monitoring system will not be fully implemented until the 272 bunks described in paragraph C below have been installed.
- C. King County will install two hundred seventy two (272) bunks in the single cell and dormitory areas of Floors 8, 9 and 10 of the KCCF. The 272 beds shall be added to the housing areas in conformity with all other applicable provisions of this Order and the following guidelines:

18 | North and East Single Cell Housing Units:

19 Additional beds shall not exceed the following:

20 | / / /

21 | / / /

22 / / /

23 / / /

24 / / /

25 / / /

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 9 2085pld.mfp.bak

| 1 |
|---|
| 1 |
| |
| |

Housing Area

Lower A

Lower B

Upper A

Upper B

Upper C

Lower

3

4

6 7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

2021

22

23<u>.</u> 24

25

Floors 8 - 10
Existing Beds Added Beds Total
5 3 8
9 5 14

10 6 16 5 3 8 9 5 14 10 6 16

These beds shall be added to single cells, not day rooms. No single cell shall be used to house more than two inmates.

Dormitory Housing Areas (Floors 8 - 10):

Additional beds shall be installed in conformity with the following plan:

| Housing Area Lower A Lower B Lower C Lower D | Existing Beds 11 12 12 11 | Added Beds 5 6 6 | Total 16 18 18 16 |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Upper A Upper B Upper C Upper D | 11 | 5 | 16 |
| | 12 | 6 | 18 |
| | 12 | 6 | 18 |
| | 11 | 5 | 16 |

All additional beds shall be upper bunks installed over beds along the walls so as not to interfere with the lines of sight for correctional officers assigned to the south wing officer's station or south wing core area.

Up to twenty additional bunks over and above the 272 bunks indicated above may be added to areas of Floor 7 South that are used to house general population inmates, in conformity with the above plan for dormitory housing areas.

Additional guidelines for the design, fabrication, and installation of these bunks are set forth below.

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 10 2085pld.mfp.bak

- E. King County will not double cell inmates in those housing areas designated as pre-disciplinary segregation, disciplinary segregation or administrative segregation or close security split housing units.
- F. No inmate shall be assigned to a mattress on the floor in medical or psychiatric housing areas except upon the approval or direction of medical or psychiatric staff.
- G. In those units of Floor 7S housing inmates who are assigned to 7S for special custody needs, inmates will not be assigned to a mattress on the floor if medical or psychiatric staff disapprove.
- H. The population of units on Floor 7S housing inmates under mental health observation shall not exceed the number of inmates equal to the number of beds in the unit as originally designed plus three additional inmates. No inmates under mental health observation shall be housed in any area of the tower containing upper bunks.
- I. If after installation of the bunks authorized pursuant to paragraphs 6A through H of this Stipulation and Order, King County

24.

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 11 2085pld.mfp.bak

1 determines that additional bunks should be installed in housing 2 areas of the tower portion of the KCCF, King County shall provide 3 4 5 6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

plaintiffs' counsel written notice of its installation plan, including the configuration, location, justification programmatic changes, if any, of the planned bunks not later than one hundred twenty (120) days before installation occurs. County's counsel will meet and confer with plaintiffs' counsel concerning the proposed installation plans at the request of plaintiffs' counsel.

If plaintiffs, after meeting and conferring, give King County notice of objection to the plan, King County will allow plaintiffs' counsel and their experts access to reasonable information relevant to the proposal, including access to affected tower areas, and information relevant to health and safety in the areas of the tower in which the bunks are proposed to be added. After such information is provided, plaintiffs may ask the court for review, but only on the question whether the addition of the proposed bunks will violate the constitutional rights of inmates living in those areas, a question upon which plaintiffs will bear the burden of proof.

All bunks installed pursuant to this Stipulation and Order J. shall be temporary and will be removed within a reasonable time following the opening of a new permanent King County correctional facility.

24

25

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 12 2085pld.mfp.bak

Norm Maleng Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION E550 King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104-2312 (206) 296-9015 FAX (206) 296-0191

and

7. Budget Requests

A. Telephone System

The Department of Adult Detention (DAD) will request, as part of its 1992 budget submission, funding to improve the KCCF telephone communication system to better facilitate public access to the KCCF.

B. Information Management System

DAD has requested funding to upgrade its current information management system in a 1991 Supplemental Budget Request. Such funding will be used to improve DAD's ability to compile and track information concerning the frequency, type, seriousness and location of injuries and infractions in the KCCF, suicide attempts and other psychiatric management information, and to provide improved access to escape risk, management risk score and historical information, as well as access to other information of concern to KCCF management.

DAD will also request, as part of its 1992 budget submission, funding to hire a consultant to evaluate its information system and to assist it in developing the categories of information and types of reports necessary to efficient management of information in the KCCF.

C. Classification Positions

DAD will request, as part of its 1992 budget submission, funding for two FTE classification specialist positions. The job

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 13 2085pld.mfp.bak

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 14 2085pid.mfp.bak

description for these positions will include responsibility for reclassification of inmates and classification kite review.

8. Monitoring

Defendants shall provide to plaintiffs' counsel copies of the monthly disciplinary report printout and any monthly or other periodic reports developed pursuant to ¶ 7B of this Stipulation and Order. Defendants shall also provide to plaintiffs' counsel a copy of any consultant's evaluation regarding the DAD information management system as identified in ¶ 7B of this Stipulation and Order.

Defendants shall provide to plaintiffs' counsel copies of periodic cumulative reports generated by the system developed to monitor inmates assigned to mattresses on the floor pursuant to ¶ 6B of this Stipulation and Order. Such periodic cumulative reports shall be generated at least once per month. Defendants shall grant access to plaintiffs' counsel upon request to information reflecting daily statistics regarding inmates assigned to mattresses on the floor.

Defendants shall allow plaintiffs' counsel access upon request to the facility to inspect any physical changes made pursuant to ¶¶ 5 and 6 of this Stipulation and Order. Defendants shall also provide plaintiffs' counsel access upon request to any policies and procedures developed by the Department of Adult Detention to

ascertain compliance with $\P\P$ 2A, 2B, 2D, 3, 4, 5, 6E, 6F, 6H and 7A, 7B, and 7C of this Stipulation and Order.

In the event the parties disagree over defendants' performance

9. <u>Dispute Resolution</u>

and their obligations under this Stipulation and Order, the parties shall first meet and confer in good faith in order to resolve the disagreement. If the parties are not able to resolve the matter by meeting and conferring, the parties shall submit the matter to a mediator, to be chosen by agreement of the parties, to mediate the issues raised by the parties prior to any motion by plaintiffs to

10. Modification

refer the matter to Court.

If any provision of this Stipulation and Order becomes impossible of performance or would work an unreasonable hardship on defendants due to circumstances beyond defendants' control, or if it appears that the objectives sought can be better achieved through modification of such provision, then defendants shall submit such proposed modification to counsel for plaintiffs for their review. If approved, an appropriate order shall be forwarded to the court. If not approved:

23 .

A. Defendants shall file and serve a motion for modification setting forth the precise provision which cannot be

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 15 2085pld.mfp.bak

- B. Plaintiffs may object to and file a written response to any application within 20 days of notice of the lodging of such application.
- C. In the event that the court has terminated jurisdiction, as provided in ¶15 below, defendants may at their option elect to achieve the objectives of the proposed stipulation and order by complying with recognized national correctional standards, such as those promulgated by the American Correctional Association.

11. Issues Resolved

This Stipulation and Order, in conjunction with the Stipulation, Order and Partial Judgment, entered by the court on February 27, 1990, and the Stipulated Order and Judgment Re Medical Issues, entered by the court on June 4, 1991, shall collectively operate as a final Judgment with respect to all claims for injunctive or declaratory relief raised by plaintiffs in this action. There is no just reason for delay of entry of this Stipulation and Order as a final Order. The class certified in this action did not seek damages and nothing in this Stipulation and

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 16 2085pld.mfp.bak

Order shall be deemed to waive the rights at law of any person to seek damages. This Stipulation and Order does not resolve or adjudicate the damage claims of the named individual plaintiffs: Calvin Hammer, Melton Atkins, Edward Boekel or Wilborn K. Stevens, which have been previously resolved and settled. Plaintiffs' claims for costs, expenses and attorneys' fees are reserved for determination by the Court at a later date.

12. Basis for Order

Due to the resolution of plaintiffs' claims indicated in the preceding paragraph by settlement by and among the undersigned parties, it is not necessary or appropriate for this Court to enter specific findings of fact and conclusions of law at this time. The Court is satisfied from the entire record of proceedings in this action that the remedies contained in this Stipulation and Order are specifically justified as an appropriate basis and consideration for the resolution of the claims settled by this Stipulation and Order. The parties agree that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties, and that this Court has the authority to grant the relief included in this Stipulation and Order.

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 17 2085pld.mfp.bak

13. No Admission of Liability

This Stipulation and Order is executed by the parties specifically for the purposes of resolving plaintiffs' claims as indicated in ¶11 above. It is expressly understood and agreed that this Stipulation and Order shall not constitute or be construed as an admission of liability on the part of any of the defendants or as evidencing any admission of the truth or correctness of any claim asserted, or of any violation of law alleged by plaintiffs.

14. Court Approval

It is understood and agreed by the parties that if the Court fails or refuses to approve this Stipulation and Order, it shall become null and void and without any force or effect, and none of the parties shall be bound by it.

23-

15. Jurisdiction

This Stipulation and Order shall be a contract and may be enforced according to the law of contracts of the State of Washington. The court shall retain jurisdiction herein until such time as the court is satisfied that the terms of this Stipulation and Order and the Stipulation, Order and Partial Judgment, entered by the court on February 27, 1990, and the Stipulated Order and Judgment Re Medical Issues, entered by the court on June 4, 1991

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 18 2085pld.mfp.bak

have been implemented and that further supervision by the court is therefore unnecessary.

16. Enforcement

This Stipulation and Order as well as the Stipulation, Order and Partial Judgment, entered by the court on February 27, 1990, and the Stipulated Order and Judgment Re Medical Issues, entered by the court on June 4, 1991 shall be contracts and may be enforced according to the law of contracts of the State of Washington in a court of competent jurisdiction.

17. <u>Effective Date</u>

Presented by:

FRED DIAMONDSTONE

Attorney for Plaintiffs

The terms of this Order shall be effective one-hundred twenty (120) days from the date of this Order except as otherwise provided herein.

| DONE IN | OPEN | COURT | this | | day | of | <u> </u> | 1 | 99 | 1 | . • |
|---------|------|-------|------|--|-----|----|----------|---|----|---|-----|
|---------|------|-------|------|--|-----|----|----------|---|----|---|-----|

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 19 2085pld.mfp.bak

Norm Maleng
Prosecuting Attorney
CIVIL DIVISION
E550 King County Courthouse
Seattle, Washington 98104-2312
(206) 296-9015
FAX (206) 296-0191

BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

| 10 9.11. |
|--|
| John Midley |
| JOHN MIDGLEY Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| |
| Model I laman |
| THEODORE SPEARMAN Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| |
| Approved: |
| KING COUNTY |
| By: Will |
| TIM HILL, King County Executive |
| Approved as to Form: |
| NORM MALENG |
| King County Prosecuting Attorney |
| |
| By: ROBERT I. STIER |
| Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Attorneys for Defendants |
| |
| By: Mary & Gerry |
| MARY F./PERRY Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney |
| Attorneys for Defendants |
| |
| |
| |

STIPULATION, ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 20 2085pld.mfp.bak

25